**QUICK-REFERENCE GUIDE**

**PROP 1**

**AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND SPECIFIED HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.**

**SUMMARY**

Put on the Ballot by the Legislature

Authors $4 billion in general obligation bonds for existing affordable housing programs for low-income residents, veterans, farmworkers, manufactured and mobile homes, infill, and transit-oriented housing. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about $170 million annually over the next 35 years.

**WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS**

**YES** A YES vote on this measure means:

- Allows the state to sell $4 billion in general obligation bonds to fund veterans and affordable housing.

**NO** A NO vote on this measure means:

- The state could not sell $4 billion in general obligation bonds to fund veterans and affordable housing.

**ARGUMENTS**

**PRO**

- YES on Prop. 1 means affordable housing for veterans, working families, seniors, people with disabilities and Californians experiencing homelessness from California's severe housing crisis. Prop. 1 doesn't raise taxes! Veterans, Habitat for Humanity, Congress of CA Seniors, Coalition to End Domestic Violence and more all agree: Yes on Prop. 1.

**CON**

- Proposition 1 would authorize the State to borrow $4 billion (by selling bonds) for housing programs. The housing shortage stemming from the influx of millions to California requires far bigger solutions. A bad solution proposed earlier this year (Senate Bill 827) would have destroyed existing neighborhoods. There are BETTER APPROACHES.

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**FOR**

- David Koenig
  (916) 974-9411
  info@vetsandaffordablehousingact.org
  Vetsandaffordablehousingact.org

**AGAINST**

- Gary Wesley
  Mountain View, CA

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**AGAINST**

- Gigi R. Crowder
  NAMI Contra Costa
  550 Patterson Blvd., Pleasant Hill, CA
  (510) 990-2670
  gigi@namicontracosta.org
  www.namicontracosta.org

**PROP 2**

**AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND EXISTING HOUSING PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.**

**SUMMARY**

Put on the Ballot by the Legislature

Amends Mental Health Services Act to fund No Place Like Home Program, which finances housing for individuals with mental illness. Ratifies existing law establishing the No Place Like Home Program. Fiscal Impact: Allows the state to use up to $140 million per year of county mental health funds to repay up to $2 billion in bonds. These bonds would fund housing for those with mental illness who are homeless.

**WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS**

**YES** A YES vote on this measure means:

- The state could use existing county mental health funds to pay for housing for those with mental illness who are homeless.

**NO** A NO vote on this measure means:

- The state's ability to use existing county mental health funds to pay for housing for those with mental illness who are homeless would depend on future court decisions.

**ARGUMENTS**

**PRO**

- YES on Prop. 2: Supportive housing and treatment for homeless people living with serious mental illness. Prop. 2 won’t raise taxes. It will help people off the streets and into comprehensive mental health services and addiction treatment. Homeless advocates, social workers, doctors and emergency responders agree: Yes on Prop. 2.

**CON**

- Taking up to $5.6 BILLION away from the severely mentally ill to fund bonds to build them just housing without requiring treatment will force many more into homelessness. It is unnecessary, because last year the Legislature authorized county use of MHSA funds for housing without the need to borrow money.

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**FOR**

- David Koenig
  (916) 974-9411
  info@CAyesonprop2.org
  CAyesonprop2.org

**AGAINST**

- Gigi R. Crowder
  NAMI Contra Costa
  550 Patterson Blvd., Pleasant Hill, CA
  (510) 990-2670
  gigi@namicontracosta.org
  www.namicontracosta.org
PROP 3 AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND PROJECTS FOR WATER SUPPLY AND QUALITY, WATERSHED, FISH, WILDLIFE, WATER CONVEYANCE, AND GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY AND STORAGE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.

SUMMARY Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Authorizes $8.877 billion in state general obligation bonds for various infrastructure projects. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging $430 million per year over 40 years. Local government savings for water-related projects, likely averaging a couple hundred million dollars annually over the next few decades.

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: The state could sell $8.9 billion in general obligation bonds to fund various water and environmental projects.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: The state could not sell $8.9 billion in general obligation bonds to fund various water and environmental projects.

ARGUMENTS

PRO YES ON 3 secures safe, reliable, and clean water for California. YES ON 3 provides safe drinking water; repairs unsafe dams; provides drought protection; improves water quality in our ocean, bays, and rivers; and captures, treats, and reuses stormwater. YES ON 3 provides water for people, farms, and the environment.

CON Prop. 3 gives money to lots of organizations. That’s the whole idea. But it will not produce one drop of new, usable water. Interest payments on the bonds will double the amount that has to be repaid to bond holders. Think about it . . . seriously. Vote NO.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR Jerry Meral P.O. Box 1103 Inverness, CA 94937 (415) 717-8412 jerrymeral@gmail.com

AGAINST John F. Takeuchi Central Solano Citizen/ Taxpayer Group P.O. Box 3532 Fairfield, CA 94533 (707) 422-4491 taksan@comcast.net www.thetaxwatchers.org

PROP 4 AUTHORIZES BONDS FUNDING CONSTRUCTION AT HOSPITALS PROVIDING CHILDREN’S HEALTH CARE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.

SUMMARY Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Authorizes $1.5 billion in bonds, to be repaid from state’s General Fund, to fund grants for construction, expansion, renovation, and equipping of qualifying children’s hospitals. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about $80 million annually over the next 35 years.

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: The state could sell the $1.5 billion in general obligation bonds proposed for these purposes.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: The state could not sell the $1.5 billion in general obligation bonds proposed for these purposes.

ARGUMENTS

PRO California Children’s Hospitals provide specialized care for over 2 million sick children each year—cancer, sickle cell, organ transplants—no matter what families can pay. 85% of children with leukemia are cured. Proposition 4 increases capacity, provides the latest technology, and advances pediatric research to cure more children.

CON Proposition 4 would authorize the State to borrow $1.5 billion for construction and expansion at “non-profit” children hospitals by selling bonds that would need to be repaid with interest. We should look at the bigger picture and ask how to improve health care outcomes in California.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR Yes 4 Children’s Hospitals—Yes on Proposition 4 YesOnProposition4.org

AGAINST Gary Wesley Mountain View, CA
**PROP 5**

**SUMMARY**
Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Removes certain transfer requirements for homeowners over 55, severely disabled homeowners, and contaminated or disaster-destroyed property. Fiscal Impact: Schools and local governments each would lose over $100 million in annual property taxes early on, growing to about $1 billion per year. Similar increase in state costs to backfill school property tax losses.

**WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS**

**YES** A YES vote on this measure means: All homeowners who are over 55 (or who meet other qualifications) would be eligible for property tax savings when they move to a different home.

**NO** A NO vote on this measure means: Certain homeowners who are over 55 (or who meet other qualifications) would continue to be eligible for property tax savings when they move to a different home.

**ARGUMENTS**

**PRO** Prop. 5 eliminates the “moving penalty” that currently hurts SENIORS (55+) and SEVERELY DISABLED Californians. YES means SENIORS and SEVERELY DISABLED can purchase a new primary residence and not face this property tax penalty. YES allows SENIORS/SEVERELY DISABLED to move near family or purchase more practical, safer homes.

**CON** Prop. 5 doesn’t build any new housing or help first-time homebuyers purchase homes. It will cut up to $1 billion in local revenue from public schools, fire, police, health care and other services for tax breaks for wealthy Californians and to help its authors—corporate real estate interests. NoProp5.com

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**FOR**
Cary Davidson
Yes on 5 Committee
515 S. Figueroa Street,
#1110
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(888) 384-8467
info@propertytaxfairness.com
voteyesonprop5.com

**AGAINST**
No on Prop 5
1510 J Street, Suite 210
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 443-7817
info@NoProp5.com
NoProp5.com

**PROP 6**

**SUMMARY**
Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Repeals a 2017 transportation law’s taxes and fees designated for road repairs and public transportation. Fiscal Impact: Reduced ongoing revenues of $5.1 billion from state fuel and vehicle taxes that mainly would have paid for highway and road maintenance and repairs, as well as transit programs.

**WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS**

**YES** A YES vote on this measure means: Fuel and vehicle taxes recently passed by the Legislature would be eliminated, which would reduce funding for highway and road maintenance and repairs, as well as transit programs. The Legislature would be required to get a majority of voters to approve new or increased state fuel and vehicle taxes in the future.

**NO** A NO vote on this measure means: Fuel and vehicle taxes recently passed by the Legislature would continue to be in effect and pay for highway and road maintenance and repairs, as well as transit programs. The Legislature would continue not to need voter approval for new or increased state fuel and vehicle taxes in the future.

**ARGUMENTS**

**PRO** VOTE YES ON 6 to immediately LOWER GAS PRICES. Californians are struggling with the high cost of living. VOTE YES on Proposition 6 to repeal the unfair regressive gas and car tax increase and require voter approval for any future increase. VOTE YES on Prop. 6 for lower gas prices!

**CON** California Professional Firefighters, California Association of Highway Patrolmen, American Society of Civil Engineers and first responders URGE NO on Proposition 6 because it jeopardizes the safety of bridges and roads. Prop. 6 eliminates $5 billion annually in local transportation funding, stopping thousands of road safety, congestion relief and transportation improvement projects in every California community. www.NoProp6.com

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**FOR**
Give Voters a Voice—Yes on 6
www.GiveVotersAVoice.com

**AGAINST**
No on Prop 6: Stop the Attack on Bridge & Road Safety
1121 L Street, Suite 910
Sacramento, CA 95814
(800) 958-1194
info@NoProp6.com
www.NoProp6.com
**QUICK-REFERENCE GUIDE**

**PROP 7**

**SUMMARY**
Gives Legislature ability to change daylight saving time period by two-thirds vote, if changes are consistent with federal law. Fiscal Impact: This measure has no direct fiscal effect because changes to daylight saving time would depend on future actions by the Legislature and potentially the federal government.

**WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS**

**YES**
A YES vote on this measure means: The Legislature, with a two-thirds vote, could change daylight saving time if the change is allowed by the federal government. Absent any legislative change, California would maintain its current daylight saving time period (early March to early November).

**NO**
A NO vote on this measure means: California would maintain its current daylight saving time period.

**ARGUMENTS**

**PRO**
Proposition 7 will end the biannual time changes that medical researchers and economists agree are hazardous to the health and productivity of schoolchildren, the workforce and seniors. Vote Yes on Proposition 7 to keep our children, workplaces and roadways safe.

**CON**
Proposition 7 allows for permanent Daylight Saving time, subject to federal approval. It would be light in the evening in the summer, as it is now, but winter mornings would be dark for an extra hour so children would be going to school in the dark.

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**FOR**
Yes on Proposition 7
YesProp7@gmail.com
www.YesProp7.info

**AGAINST**
Senator Hannah-Beth Jackson

**PROP 8**

**SUMMARY**
Requires rebates and penalties if charges exceed limit. Requires annual reporting to the state. Prohibits clinics from refusing to treat patients based on payment source. Fiscal Impact: Overall annual effect on state and local governments ranging from net positive impact in the low tens of millions of dollars to net negative impact in the tens of millions of dollars.

**WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS**

**YES**
A YES vote on this measure means: Kidney dialysis clinics would have their revenues limited by a formula and could be required to pay rebates to certain parties (primarily health insurance companies) that pay for dialysis treatment.

**NO**
A NO vote on this measure means: Kidney dialysis clinics would not have their revenues limited by a formula and would not be required to pay rebates.

**ARGUMENTS**

**PRO**
Dialysis is a life-saving treatment, but big dialysis corporations making huge profits don’t invest enough in basic sanitation and patient care. YES ON 8 supports investment in quality patient care and stops overcharging that drives up costs for Californians. The California Democratic Party, veterans, healthcare advocates and religious leaders agree: www.YesOn8.com

**CON**
Proposition 8 is OPPOSED by thousands of nurses, doctors, patients, the American Nurses Association/California, California Medical Association, American College of Emergency Physicians of CA because it would result in the closure of many dialysis clinics in California—dangerously reducing access to care, putting the lives of vulnerable dialysis patients at risk, and increasing costs for California taxpayers. Vote NO. www.NoProp8.com

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**FOR**
Suzanne Jimenez
Yes on 8
777 S. Figueroa Street, Ste. 4050
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(888) 501-8119
info@YesOn8.com
www.YesOn8.com

**AGAINST**
No on Proposition 8: Stop the Dangerous Dialysis Proposition
(888) 663-9997
info@NoProp8.com
www.NoProp8.com
Quick-Reference Guide

On July 18, 2018, Proposition 9 was removed from the ballot by order of the California Supreme Court.

Prop 10
Expands Local Governments’ Authority to Enact Rent Control on Residential Property. Initiative Statute.

Summary
Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures
Repeals state law that currently restricts the scope of rent-control policies that cities and other local jurisdictions may impose on residential property. Fiscal Impact: Potential net reduction in state and local revenues of tens of millions of dollars per year in the long term. Depending on actions by local communities, revenue losses could be less or considerably more.

What Your Vote Means

Yes
A YES vote on this measure means:
State law would not limit the kinds of rent control laws cities and counties could have.

No
A NO vote on this measure means: State law would continue to limit the kinds of rent control laws cities and counties could have.

Arguments

Pro
Prop. 10 restores authority to establish rent control in local communities, putting fair, annual limits on the amount landlords can raise rent. This keeps tenants in their homes rather than being pushed far away or into homelessness. TEN protects TENants. Supporters: CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATIC PARTY, California Nurses Association, California Teachers Association, ACLU of California, Housing California, Eviction Defense Network, SEIU, National Urban League, Southern Christian Leadership Conference of Southern California.

Con
Prop. 10 will make the housing crisis worse, not better. Affordable housing advocates agree that Prop. 10 is bad for renters and bad for homeowners! It allows regulation of single-family homes and puts bureaucrats in charge of housing by letting them add fees on top of rent. VOTE NO ON 10!

For Additional Information

For
YES on Prop 10—Coalition for Affordable Housing
(424) 307-5278
Team@VoteYesOnProp10.org
www.VoteYesOnProp10.org

Against
No on Prop 10—A Flawed Initiative That Will Make The Housing Crisis Worse
(530) 586-4940
info@Prop10Flaws.com
www.Prop10Flaws.com
## Quick-Reference Guide

### Prop 11

**SUMMARY**

Law entitling hourly employees to breaks without being on-call would not apply to private-sector ambulance employees. Fiscal Impact: Likely fiscal benefit to local governments (in the form of lower costs and higher revenues), potentially in the tens of millions of dollars each year.

**WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS**

**YES** A YES vote on this measure means:
- Private ambulance companies could continue their current practice of having emergency medical technicians (EMTs) and paramedics stay on-duty during their meal and rest breaks in order to respond to 911 calls. Private ambulance companies would attempt to reschedule meal and rest breaks that are interrupted by a 911 call.

**NO** A NO vote on this measure means:
- Private ambulance companies would be subject to labor laws for this industry. Based on a recent court decision, these laws likely would require ambulance companies to provide EMTs and paramedics with off-duty meal and rest breaks that cannot be interrupted by a 911 call.

**ARGUMENTS**

**PRO** California faces disasters too often. Prop. 11 ensures EMTs and paramedics stay on-duty during their meal and rest breaks in order to respond to 911 calls. Private ambulance companies would attempt to reschedule meal and rest breaks that are interrupted by a 911 call.

**CON** No argument against Proposition 11 was submitted.

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**FOR** Californians for Emergency Preparedness and Safety

2350 Kerner Boulevard,

Suite 250

San Rafael, CA 94901

(916) 836-4301

info@YESon11.org

www.YESon11.org

**AGAINST** No contact information was provided.

### Prop 12

**SUMMARY**

Establishes minimum requirements for confining certain farm animals. Prohibits sales of meat and egg products from animals confined in noncomplying manner. Fiscal Impact: Potential decrease in state income tax revenues from farm businesses, likely not more than several million dollars annually. State costs up to $10 million annually to enforce the measure.

**WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS**

**YES** A YES vote on this measure means:
- There would be new minimum requirements on farmers to provide more space for egg-laying hens, breeding pigs, and calves raised for veal. California businesses would be banned from selling eggs or uncooked pork or veal that came from animals housed in ways that did not meet these requirements.

**NO** A NO vote on this measure means:
- Current minimum space requirements for confining egg-laying hens, breeding pigs, and calves raised for veal would continue to apply. Current ban on businesses in California selling eggs not meeting these space requirements for hens would remain in effect.

**ARGUMENTS**

**PRO** Confining a baby veal calf, mother pig, or egg-laying hen inside a tiny cage is cruel. Products from these suffering animals threaten food safety. YES on Prop. 12 endorsers: Nearly 500 California veterinarians, ASPCA, Humane Society of the United States, California family farmers and animal shelters, Center for Food Safety.

**CON** This outrageous sell-out to the egg industry betrays animals and voters. Californians already voted to ban cages by 2015. This cruel measure legalizes cages until at least 2022! And hens get just ONE SQUARE FOOT of space. Vote NO on farm animal cruelty by voting NO on Proposition 12.

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**FOR** Crystal Moreland

Prevent Cruelty California Coalition

119 North Fairfax Ave. #613

Los Angeles, CA 90036

(323) 937-0600

info@preventcrueltyca.com

preventcrueltyca.com

**AGAINST** Bradley Miller

Californians Against Cruelty, Cages, and Fraud

P.O. Box 3577

San Rafael, CA 94912

(855) NO CAGES (662-2437)

INFO@NoOnProposition12.org

www.NoOnProposition12.org