

QUICK REFERENCE GUIDE

PROP
1

AUTHORIZES BONDS FOR HOUSING AFFORDABILITY PROGRAMS.
LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by the Legislature

Authorizes \$11.25 billion in state general obligation bonds for housing affordability programs, including multifamily rental housing, mortgages for veterans, supportive housing, preservation of existing affordable housing, and downpayment assistance. **Fiscal Impact:** Increased state cost of \$500 million to \$600 million annually for about 25 years to repay the housing bond. **Supporters:** US Vets; CA Federation of Teachers; Self-Help for the Elderly; Habitat for Humanity CA; CA Alliance of Child & Family Services **Opponents:** None submitted

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: The state could borrow \$11.25 billion to support veterans and affordable housing.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: The state could not borrow \$11.25 billion to support veterans and affordable housing.

ARGUMENTS

PRO Prop. 1 builds affordable homes for veterans, seniors, people with disabilities, low- and middle-income working families, vulnerable Californians and essential workers—teachers, firefighters and nurses. Expands homeownership opportunities, fast-tracks affordable shovel-ready homes, helps those one crisis away from homelessness. Requires strict fiscal accountability and public oversight with no new taxes.

CON NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 1 WAS SUBMITTED.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

info@yesonprop1ca.com
yesonprop1ca.com

AGAINST

PROP
2

INCREASES STATE'S RAINY DAY FUND.
LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by the Legislature

Increases California's Rainy Day Fund, approved by voters in 2014, to provide funding for education, health care, public safety, and other essential services during economic downturns. **Fiscal Impact:** State budget reserves would be higher. **Supporters:** CA Professional Firefighters; LA Chamber of Commerce; Gavin Newsom; Xavier Becerra; Assemblymember Jesse Gabriel **Opponents:** None submitted

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: Rules for building state budget reserves and paying down extra state debts would change. As a result, state budget reserves would be higher. The state also would be required to make extra debt payments for longer.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: Rules for building state budget reserves and paying down extra state debts would not change.

ARGUMENTS

PRO Vote yes on Proposition 2 to protect schools, healthcare, and public safety funding. Proposition 2 doubles the state's Rainy Day Fund, stops politicians from overspending when there is a budget surplus, and ensures education funding continues during economic downturns. It has bipartisan support from firefighters, hospitals, health clinics, and educators.

CON Proposition 2 guarantees more spending, not savings, creating a loophole that makes taxpayer rebates less likely by excluding reserve deposits from California's constitutional spending limit. It provides no new funding for education, healthcare, or public safety. Vote No to protect taxpayer rebates and constitutional limits.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

Yes on Prop. 2
445 S. Figueroa Street
Suite 2400
Los Angeles, CA 90071
YesonProp2.com

AGAINST

David Tangipa,
Assemblymember
RejectProp2@gmail.com

QUICK REFERENCE GUIDE

PROP
3

PROVIDES PERMANENT FUNDING FOR SCHOOLS AND HEALTH CARE BY EXTENDING EXISTING TAX ON HIGH INCOMES. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

PROP
4

REPEALS PROHIBITION AGAINST PUBLIC FUNDING OF ELECTION CAMPAIGNS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Makes permanent existing voter-approved tax rates for individuals earning over \$371,000 (adjusted annually for inflation). Allocates tax revenues to public education. **Fiscal Impact:** Maintains \$5 billion to \$15 billion of annual state income tax revenue by making a temporary tax increase on high-income earners permanent instead of letting it expire in 2031. **Supporters:** California Teachers Association; CA School Nurses Organization; California State PTA; Planned Parenthood Affiliates of CA **Opponents:** California Taxpayers Association; Family Business Association of California; California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: An income tax increase on high-income earners in place since 2012 would become permanent instead of expiring in 2031.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: An income tax increase on high-income earners in place since 2012 would expire in 2031.

ARGUMENTS

PRO PROPOSITION 3 PROTECTS OUR SCHOOLS AND HEALTHCARE WITHOUT RAISING YOUR TAXES. Prop. 3 prevents a massive tax cut for millionaires and billionaires by maintaining the current tax rates the wealthiest 2% have paid for 15 years and requires strict accountability and audits to ensure school funding gets to classrooms. Vote YES.

CON Californians *already* pay the nation's highest income, sales, and gas taxes—and have the highest cost of living. Prop. 3 makes *temporary income taxes permanent* while Sacramento *wastes billions* on failed programs instead of voters' priorities. Don't reward failure. *Fix spending first* before making taxes permanent. No on Prop. 3.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

Yes on Prop 3
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814
info@YesProp3CA.com
YesProp3CA.com

AGAINST

info@VoteNoProp3.com
VoteNoProp3.com

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by the Legislature

Repeals prohibition on state and local governments offering public funding of candidate election campaigns. Public funding programs may not use funds earmarked for education, transportation, or public safety. **Fiscal Impact:** Ongoing costs to the state of a few hundred thousand dollars each year for the Fair Political Practices Commission to answer questions from state and local governments about public campaign finance programs. **Supporters:** League of Women Voters of CA; California Nurses Association; Mental Health Advocacy; Social Security Works; Consumer Watchdog **Opponents:** California Taxpayers Assn; Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Assn; Family Business Assn of California; United Latinos Action

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: State and local governments could create public campaign financing programs for public office candidates, subject to limits on which public funds could be used, how the public funds could be used, and who could receive them.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: State and most local governments would remain unable to create public campaign finance programs for public office candidates.

ARGUMENTS

PRO YES ON 4. California is the **ONLY** state that bans public funding of campaigns—communities in all other 49 states have this right. Special interests want to keep the ban to protect their power. Prop. 4 removes it. Strict taxpayer safeguards. Vote YES: Elections should be won, not bought.

CON Prop. 4 allows politicians to use **YOUR** taxpayer money to fund their negative campaigns. Prop. 4 has **NO LIMIT** on the number of candidates who can get taxpayer money and **NO LIMIT** on how much taxpayer money they can get. Worse yet, Prop. 4 allows **SPECIAL INTEREST MONEY IN POLITICS**. **NO ON PROP. 4.**

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

Yes on Proposition 4,
Californians for Fair
Elections, sponsored by
Government Reform
Advocates
info@CAFairElections.org
www.CAFairElections.org

AGAINST

California Taxpayers
Association
www.caltax.org/prop4

QUICK REFERENCE GUIDE

PROP 5 CHANGES RECALL ELECTION PROCESS FOR STATEWIDE OFFICERS. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by the Legislature

Under current law, voters elect replacement candidates at the same time as the recall election. This measure instead fills recall vacancies by subsequent special election or appointment. **Fiscal Impact:** Net fiscal effect unknown. In the event of a recall, savings or costs of millions of dollars to administer the election depending on the office recalled. **Supporters:** None submitted **Opponents:** None submitted

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: Recall elections would no longer include a question about who should fill the vacancy. Instead, vacancies typically would be filled through special elections or appointments, depending on the office and timing.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: Voters would continue to be asked who should fill the vacancy as part of recall elections.

ARGUMENTS

PRO Proposition 5 restores the recall to its original purpose: removing corrupt officials. It closes the loophole that allows replacements to win with a small fraction of the vote, reducing the politics and costs of future recalls. Endorsed by League of Women Voters of California and California Common Cause. Vote YES

CON Proposition 5 degrades California's century-old recall process by limiting voters' right to select a replacement for recalled officials. Instead, when statewide elected officials are recalled, other politicians would choose a replacement. For lower offices, another costly, taxpayer-funded election would come months later, leaving the office vacant and the people unrepresented.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

Josh Newman
Californians for a Prosperous Golden State
101 E. Commonwealth Ave.
Suite A, Fullerton 92832
(818) 585-4601
hello@fixtherecall.com
fixtherecall.com

AGAINST

PROP 37 CREATES LOAN PROGRAM FOR MIDDLE-INCOME BUYERS OF QUALIFIED NEW HOMES. INITIATIVE STATUTE.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Authorizes \$25 billion in bonds to offer eligible buyers fixed-rate mortgages for up to 17% of purchase price of a newly constructed home priced below about \$1.5 million. Borrowers must be California residents, occupy the home, meet income limits, and pay at least 3% down. Bonds repaid by mortgage payments, not State. **Fiscal Impact:** No direct state or local costs. **Supporters:** United Nurses Associations of California; California Conference of Carpenters; California State Treasurer Fiona Ma **Opponents:** None submitted

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: The state would create a new homebuying assistance program. The state could sell up to \$25 billion in revenue bonds to fund the program. Bonds would be paid back by homeowners' payments on their home loans.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: The state would not be required to create a new homebuying assistance program.

ARGUMENTS

PRO Zero Taxpayer Cost—No Taxpayer Risk. Prop. 37 makes buying a home more affordable, keeping homeownership attainable for hardworking and middle-class Californians, with low-interest mortgages funded by private bond investors, NOT TAXPAYERS. Must be CA resident to qualify, meet income requirements, occupy home, make monthly payments, repay loan. Strict accountability/audits.

CON NO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 37 WAS SUBMITTED.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

<https://voteyeson37.com/>
Info@voteyeson37.com

AGAINST

QUICK REFERENCE GUIDE

PROP
38

AUTHORIZES BONDS FOR IMMUNOLOGY MEDICAL RESEARCH.
INITIATIVE STATUTE.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Authorizes \$8.4 billion in general obligation bonds for immunology and immunotherapy research, half to a single University of California-affiliated nonprofit medical research institute, half to research grants. **Fiscal Impact:** Increased state cost of \$500 million to \$600 million annually for about 20 years to repay the research bond, with some or all of the cost offset if the funded research generates revenue. **Supporters:** Michael J. Fox Foundation; Alzheimer's Los Angeles; Kidney Cancer Assoc; American Nurses Assoc\CA **Opponents:** Robert M Kaplan Ph.D.

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: The state could borrow \$8.4 billion to support medical research in immunology and immunotherapy conducted by California research institutes and universities.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: The state could not borrow \$8.4 billion to support medical research in immunology and immunotherapy conducted by California research institutes and universities.

ARGUMENTS

PRO YES on 38 supports life-saving immunology research to cure and prevent diseases like cancer, heart disease, Alzheimer's. Strong accountability including independent audits. Mandates 20% Rx discount. Designed to ensure no cost to taxpayers. YES on 38 could save your life and someone you love. Alzheimer's Association, The Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research urge YES on 38! www.YESon38.com

CON California should continue supporting biomedical research, but Proposition 38 is the wrong approach. It would commit taxpayers to decades of new bond debt while limiting California's flexibility to address changing health priorities. Research funding should be guided through the normal budget process—not by ballot initiatives.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

YES on 38: Californians for Life-Saving Immunology Research and Cures
Info@YesOn38.com
<https://yeson38.com>

AGAINST

Robert M Kaplan
Stanford University School of Medicine, Clinical Excellence Research Center
Stanford CERC, CAM Building
453 Quarry Road,
Palo Alto, CA 94305
(301) 412-0205
bob.kaplan@stanford.edu

PROP
39

PROHIBITS CITIZENS FROM VOTING UNLESS THEY
PRESENT GOVERNMENT-ISSUED IDENTIFICATION.
INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Invalidates mail ballots that do not have last four digits of designated government-issued identification number written on envelope. Prohibits in-person voting without presenting government-issued identification. **Fiscal Impact:** Costs of between tens of millions of dollars and low hundreds of millions of dollars each year to implement new voting requirements. **Supporters:** The Transparency Foundation; Latino American Political Assn; Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Assn; CA Women's Leadership Assn **Opponents:** ACLU California Action; Common Cause; League of Women Voters of California

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: Voters would be required to present additional identifying information to elections officials each time they vote.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: A voter's identity would continue to be established with the voter's signature.

ARGUMENTS

PRO Yes on Prop. 39 gives California a BIPARTISAN solution for VOTER ID. Supported by a solid majority of Democratic, Independent and Republican voters. Increases trust and confidence in our elections.

CON No on Prop. 39. This would advance Trump's voter suppression agenda by adding costly, unnecessary barriers that could block eligible California voters, expose personal information to identity theft, and waste hundreds of millions in taxpayer dollars. Don't let MAGA billionaires decide which voters count. Vote No.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

YES on Proposition 39—
Californians for Voter ID
Info@voteridca.org
www.VoterIDCA.org

AGAINST

No on Prop. 39—Californians
for Voting Rights
info@caforvotingrights.org
CAforvotingrights.org

QUICK REFERENCE GUIDE

PROP 40 IMPOSES ONE-TIME TAX ON CERTAIN TAXPAYERS. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE.

SUMMARY *Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures*

Imposes 5% tax on certain taxpayers with assets over \$1 billion; revenue primarily for health care. Exempts revenues from constitutional requirements for school funding and spending limit. **Fiscal Impact:** Temporary revenue increase of tens of billions of dollars spread over several years from wealth tax on billionaires. Possible ongoing decrease of less than \$1 billion per year in income tax revenue from billionaires. **Supporters:** US Senator Bernie Sanders; SEIU United Healthcare Workers West **Opponents:** California Primary Care Association; California School Boards Association; California Taxpayers Association

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: The state would collect a one-time tax from billionaires equal to 5 percent of their wealth.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: The state would *not* collect a one-time tax from billionaires equal to 5 percent of their wealth.

ARGUMENTS

PRO Vote YES on 40 to tax billionaires. Trump slashed healthcare funding to pay for billionaire tax breaks, eliminating coverage for over a million Californians and doubling health insurance premiums for millions more. It's time to make billionaires pay their fair share and stop sticking the middle class with the bill.

CON Prop. 40 is a flawed, one-time tax scheme that hurts our economy, damages our state budget, and contains a loophole exposing all Californians to taxes we can't afford—but does nothing to lower healthcare costs. No safeguards, no accountability. Join California Teachers Association, California Medical Association, California Children's Hospital Association, California Professional Firefighters, State Building and Construction Trades Council of California, Democrats and Republicans: NO on 40.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

Suzanne Jimenez
SEIU-UHW
5480 Ferguson Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90022
(855) 507-7562
info@YesOn40.com
www.yeson40.com

AGAINST

Californians to Protect Funding for Schools, Healthcare and Public Safety
Info@VoteNoOn40.org
VoteNoOn40.org

PROP 41 PROHIBITS NEW STATE TAXES THAT EXCLUDE REVENUES FROM STATE SPENDING LIMIT. REQUIRES AUDITS FOR NEW STATE SPECIAL TAXES. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

SUMMARY *Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures*

Nullifies state taxes enacted after January 1, 2026 that exempt their revenues from voter-approved state spending limit. Requires pre-election and recurring audits of programs funded by new special taxes. **Fiscal Impact:** The net fiscal effect is unknown as it depends on future decisions by voters, the Legislature, and other policymakers. **Supporters:** CA Society of Certified Public Accountants (CalCPAs); CalAsian Chamber of Commerce; California Taxpayers Association (CalTax) **Opponents:** US Senator Bernie Sanders; SEIU United Healthcare Workers West

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: When special taxes are proposed by voter initiatives, the California State Auditor would review programs funded by the tax before the initiative appears on the ballot. The California State Auditor also would regularly review programs funded by special taxes approved by voters or the Legislature. In addition, the state might not be able to exclude any new special tax spending from its spending limit.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: The California State Auditor's responsibility to review government programs would not change. The state spending limit requirements would not change.

ARGUMENTS

PRO Californians deserve better results for our taxes. Billions have flowed into programs like homelessness, with too little to show for it. Prop. 41 requires the independent State Auditor to conduct PUBLIC AUDITS of programs funded by new taxes—so we get more transparency, more accountability and better outcomes for taxpayers.

CON Prop. 41 isn't really about audits—it's a billionaire-funded trick designed to undo the California Billionaire Tax. Billionaires would rather millions of Californians lose healthcare than pay a modest tax on their extreme wealth. Don't fall for the billionaires' lies. Vote NO on 41.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

Yes on 41—Californians for Transparency and Accountability, A Coalition of Small Businesses, Taxpayers, Good Government Advocates, Accountants, and Auditing Experts
info@YesonProp41.org
YesonProp41.org

AGAINST

Suzanne Jimenez
SEIU-UHW
5480 Ferguson Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90022
(855) 507-7562
info@YesOn40.com
www.yeson40.com

QUICK REFERENCE GUIDE

PROP 42 PROHIBITS NEW STATE PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES AND CERTAIN RETROACTIVE STATE TAXES. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Prohibits any new state tax (1) imposed on the ownership of personal property (all things people own other than real estate), or (2) that applies retroactively based on taxpayer's past activities. Nullifies taxes enacted after January 1, 2026 that conflict with this measure. **Fiscal Impact:** Possibility that tax revenues will not go up as much in the future. **Supporters:** CA Professional Firefighters; State Building & Construction Trades Council of CA; AMVETS Department of CA **Opponents:** US Senator Bernie Sanders; SEIU United Healthcare Workers West

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: The state could not establish new taxes on the ownership of financial assets or other personal property.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: The state would continue to have the option to establish new taxes on the ownership of financial assets or other personal property.

ARGUMENTS

PRO California's Constitution currently allows the Legislature to tax retirement and savings—even though you already paid taxes on that money when you earned it! Prop. 42 protects Californians from this double taxation, by prohibiting new and retroactive taxes on personal property, including retirement and savings. You earned it. Prop. 42 protects it.

CON This is just another billionaire-funded trick. Prop. 42 isn't really about protecting your retirement savings—its only real purpose is to undo the California Billionaire Tax. Billionaires would rather millions of Californians lose healthcare than pay a modest tax on their extreme wealth. Vote NO on 42.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

Yes on 42—Protect Retirement and Life Savings, a coalition of retirees, blue-collar workers, seniors, veterans, small business and taxpayers
info@yesonprop42.org
YesOnProp42.org

AGAINST

Suzanne Jimenez
SEIU-UHW
5480 Ferguson Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90022
(855) 507-7562
info@YesOn40.com
www.yeson40.com

PROP 43 LIMITS VOTERS' ABILITY TO RAISE REVENUES FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by the Legislature

Limits voters' ability to pass voter-proposed local special taxes by increasing percentage of votes needed to approve such ballot measures from a majority (over 50%) to two-thirds, beginning January 1, 2027. **Fiscal Impact:** Possibility that local government tax revenues will not go up as much in the future due to a higher vote threshold for certain taxes. **Supporters:** California Taxpayers Association; Family Business Association of California; California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce **Opponents:** California Professional Firefighters; California Federation of Teachers; Nurse Alliance of SEIU CA.

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: Certain local government taxes would require two-thirds approval by voters.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: Certain local government taxes could continue to be approved by voters with a majority vote.

ARGUMENTS

PRO Prop. 43 protects taxpayers by restoring Proposition 13's two-thirds vote requirement to pass local special taxes, including sales taxes, real estate taxes and utility taxes. Courts have allowed special interests to pass earmarked tax increases with fewer votes. Prop. 43 closes this loophole, protecting taxpayers, homeowners and renters. Vote yes.

CON PROPOSITION 43 LIMITS VOTERS' ABILITY TO FUND ESSENTIAL LOCAL SERVICES including fire protection, 911 response, schools, roads, hospitals and affordable housing. It doesn't cut your taxes and lets just 33.4% of voters veto what nearly 67% want. Protect your vote and majority rule. Vote NO on Proposition 43.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

Jon Coupal
Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association
1201 K Street, Suite 1030
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 444-9950
(213) 384-9656
info@hjta.org
YESon43.com

AGAINST

No on Prop. 43
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814
info@Noprop43CA.com
Noprop43CA.com

QUICK REFERENCE GUIDE

PROP 44 REQUIRES COMMUNITY HEALTH CLINICS SPEND 90% OF REVENUE ON PROGRAM SERVICES. INITIATIVE STATUTE.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Imposes penalties on nonprofit Federally Qualified Health Centers (community clinics providing primary care to medically underserved areas and populations) that spend less than 90% of revenue on “program services” advancing their charitable purpose, including but not limited to patient services. **Fiscal Impact:** Increased state costs in the low tens of millions of dollars per year, covered by fees. **Supporters:** None submitted **Opponents:** American Academy of Pediatrics CA; CA School Nurses Org; CA Academy of Family Physicians; CA Medical Assoc.

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: Certain private nonprofit health care clinics would have to spend at least 90 percent of their revenue each year on providing health care services.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: The new requirement on health care clinic spending would not go into effect.

ARGUMENTS

PRO Prop. 44 holds community clinics and their executives accountable by requiring that 90% of clinic revenue be spent on clinics’ charitable mission: patient care and services that make care possible. It increases transparency, reduces wasteful executive spending, and ensures healthcare dollars go where they belong: caring for Californians in need.

CON Prop. 44 is a dangerous measure that will shut down hundreds of community health clinics and eliminate vital patient services for millions of Californians. American Academy of Pediatrics CA, California Medical Association, California Academy of Family Physicians, California School Nurses Organization, doctors, nurses and patients urge NO on 44! www.NOProp44.com

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

Gustavo Medina
SEIU-UHW
5480 Ferguson Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90022
(323) 805-0241
contact@yesonprop44.com
yesonproposition44.com

AGAINST

No on Prop. 44—Stop the Attack on Patients and Community Health Clinics
info@noprop44.com
www.NoProp44.com

PROP 45 MODIFIES ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS. INITIATIVE STATUTE.

SUMMARY

Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Amends California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) to expedite environmental review for certain projects (including most housing, transportation, water, and health projects) by setting deadlines to complete environmental review and resolve lawsuits challenging project approvals. **Fiscal Impact:** Initial costs for state and local governments, likely in the high tens of millions of dollars—potentially exceeding \$100 million—annually, partly covered by fees. Uncertain, potentially more significant positive or negative longer-term fiscal effects. **Supporters:** California Children’s Hospital Association; California Water Association; California Council for Affordable Housing **Opponents:** Coalition for Clean Air; CA Environmental Voters; Physicians for Social Responsibility, LA; National Wildlife Federation

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: Certain public and private projects would be eligible for new project review and court challenge procedures. For example, new procedures would tighten time limits for environmental review and reduce the scope of what courts can consider when reviewing legal challenges.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: Public and private projects would continue to use existing project review and court challenge procedures.

ARGUMENTS

PRO The failing status quo is making California unaffordable. Prop. 45 fixes our broken system and lowers our high cost of living by cutting red tape to build housing, water, schools, hospitals, clean energy, and wildfire prevention faster—while maintaining California’s strong environmental protections. Vote YES for a more affordable California. YESonProp45.com

CON PROPOSITION 45 LIMITS ENVIRONMENTAL AND PUBLIC REVIEW OF PROJECTS BUILT IN YOUR COMMUNITY. It guts The California Environmental Quality Act—flipping California law to protect corporate profits over public health and our air and water. Prop. 45 won’t lower your costs: its utility and corporate funders profit while taxpayers pay more. VOTE NO.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

YES ON 45—BUILDING A MORE AFFORDABLE CALIFORNIA, A COALITION OF WATER, AFFORDABLE HOUSING, CLEAN ENERGY, SMALL BUSINESS, HEALTHCARE, AND COMMUNITY LEADERS
info@YesonProp45.com
YESonProp45.com

AGAINST

No on Prop. 45
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814
info@Noprop45CA.com
Noprop45CA.com